



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,500	07/23/2004	Thomas Bogdahn	52201-0625	5716
28481	7590	04/02/2008	EXAMINER	
TIAJOLOFF & KELLY			LAZORCIK, JASON L	
CHRYSLER BUILDING, 37TH FLOOR				
405 Lexington Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10174			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/502,500	BOGDAHN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JASON L. LAZORCIK	1791	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JASON L. LAZORCIK. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Lynn Chu. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 March 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-11 and 21-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Boscher.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented arguments which allegedly distinguish the claimed invention from that presented in the Boscher reference. No consensus was reached. Applicant was advised on the particular grounds for the rejection presented in the Final Office Action. Applicant was further advised on the status of claim amendments presented in Applicants After Final Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jason L. Lazorcik/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required